

## A Lipase-mediated Route to (+)-Juvabione and (+)-Epijuvabione from Racemic Norcamphor

## Hiroshi Nagata, Takahiko Taniguchi, Mitsuhiro Kawamura and Kunio Ogasawara\*

Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, Aobayama, Sendai 980-8578, Japan FAX +81-22-217-6845; E-mail konol@mail.cc.tohoku.ac.jp

Received 9 March 1999; revised 26 March 1999; accepted 2 April 1999

Abstract: (+)-Juvabione and (+)-epijuvabione, natural sesquiterpenes exhibiting insect juvenile hormone activity, have been synthesized from (±)-norcamphor via the both enantiomeric intermediates having bicyclo[3.2.1]octane framework by employing a lipase-mediated kinetic ester-hydrolysis reaction and cyclopropane ring-expansion reaction as the key steps.

© 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: insects; enzyme and enzyme reactions; terpenes and terpenoids; resolution

(+)-Juvabione 1 and (+)-epijuvabione 2 are natural sesquiterpenes exhibiting selective insect juvenile hormone activity (Fig. 1). These compounds have two contiguous secondary stereogenic centers on a ring and a side chain, which make their diastereodivergent synthesis from a single starting material very difficult. So far, only one example carried out by us has solved the stereochemical problem to give diastereodivergently these two diastereomeric natural products using (+)-norcamphor 3 as the starting material. We wish to report here an

Fig. 1

alternative stereocontrolled construction of these two compounds from racemic norcamphor (±)-3 by employing lipase-mediated kinetic resolution<sup>4</sup> and iterative use of the same ring-expansion in the key stages.

Racemic norcamphor  $(\pm)$ -3 was first transformed into racemic bicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-en-2-one  $(\pm)$ -7, on sequential silyl enol ether formation, cyclopropanation, and oxidative ring-expansion reaction, in 75% overall yield (Scheme 1). Reduction of  $(\pm)$ -7 with dissobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) gave diastereoselectively the

$$(\pm)-3 \qquad \qquad 4 \qquad \qquad 0 \text{TMS} \qquad b \qquad 0 \text{TMS} \qquad c \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right] \qquad \left(\pm\right)-7 \qquad (\pm)-7 \qquad (\pm)$$

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: a) LDA, TMSCl, THF, -78 °C (82%); b) CH<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>Zn, Et<sub>2</sub>O, reflux (98%); c) FeCl<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 0 °C (93%).

endo-alcohol (±)-8. Kinetic transesterification between (±)-8 and vinyl acetate occurred in *tert*-butyl methyl ether in the presence of lipase PS to afford the acetate (+)-9 and the alcohol (-)-8 in satisfactory chemical yields, but their enantiomeric purities were less than satisfactory for practical use. On the other hand, kinetic hydrolysis of the racemic acetate (±)-9, generated from (±)-8, in a phosphate buffer in the presence of the same lipase afforded the alcohol (+)-8 and the acetate (-)-9, in satisfactory chemical and enantiomerical yields, which were used for the following synthesis. The alcohol (+)-8 gave the enone (+)-7,  $[\alpha]_D^{29}$  +362.1 (c 0.6, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>3</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D^{33}$  +359.2 (c 1.64, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)}, on Dess-Martin oxidation,<sup>6</sup> while the acetate (-)-9 gave the enantiomeric enone (-)-7,  $[\alpha]_D^{22}$  -339.0 (c 2.8, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>3</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D^{29}$  -346.2 (c 1.55, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)}, on sequential K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-mediated methanolysis and Dess-Martin oxidation. Both enantiomers of the enone 7 were identical with the authentic materials obtained from (+)-norcamphor.<sup>3</sup> Enatiomeric purities of the resolved products were estimated for both as > 95% ee at this stage by HPLC of both enantiomers of 7 thus obtained using a chiral column (CHIRALCEL OB, *i*PrOH-hexane 1:200) (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C (85%); b) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP (cat.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (97%).

To obtain the key intermediate (+)-13 of (+)-juvabione 1, the enone (+)-7 was treated with the cuprate reagent generated *in situ* to give diastereoselectively the 1,4-adduct (+)-10,  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  +147.1 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>3</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D^{32}$  +136.7 (c 1.15, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)}, having *exo*-methyl stereochemistry. The bicyclic ketone (+)-10 was then transformed into the cyclopentanone (+)-13,  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +98.1 (c 1.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>3</sup>:  $[\alpha]_D^{31}$  +97.3 (c 1.15, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)}, in 47% overall yield *via* 11 and 12 by sequential Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, Weinreb amide formation, Grignard coupling, ketone protection and oxidation as shown<sup>3</sup> (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: a) MeMgI, CuCN, LiCl, THF, – 78°C (95%); b) mCPBA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C; c) MeNHOMe·HCl, Me<sub>3</sub>Al, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (87%, 2 steps); d) iPrCH<sub>2</sub>MgCl, THF (65%); e) (CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub>, pTsOH (cat.), benzene, reflux; f) PCC, NaOAc, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (86%, 2 steps).

On the other hand, to obtain the key intermediate (+)-17 of (+)-epijuvabione 2, the enantiomeric enone (-)-7 was first treated with methyllithium to give the 1,2-adduct 14,  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  -68.5 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). This afforded the enone 15,  $[\alpha]_D^{24}$  +274.0 (c 1.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), on oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), which on catalytic hydrogenation, gave diastereoselectively the bicyclic ketone (+)-16,  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  +115.4 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), having an *endo*-methyl stereochemistry. Employing exactly the same procedure as for (+)-10, the diastereomeric ketone (+)-16 was similarly transformed into the diastereomeric cyclopentanone (+)-17,  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  +87.3 (c 1.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), in 44% overall yield (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: a) MeLi, THF (97%); b) PCC, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (84%); c) H<sub>2</sub> (10%), Pd-C, AcOEt (98%); d) as Scheme 3 (44%, 5 steps).

Having obtained the two key intermediates, (+)-13 and (+)-17, we examined their transformation into the target natural products, the former into (+)-juvabione 1 and the latter into (+)-epijuvabione 2, by employing the cyclopropanation and the ring-expansion reaction that used for the conversion of norcamphor (±)-3 into the enone precursor (±)-7. Since we could not find appropriate conditions to convert regioselectively both (+)-13 and (+)-17 into the single silyl enol ether products, we decided to use the mixtures consisted of the two regio-isomers, 18a,b and 19a,b, for the next step without separation. Thus, the 2.6:1 mixture consisted of 18a and 19a gave an inseparable mixture of the cyclopropanes, 20a and 21a, which on treatment with iron(III) chloride<sup>5</sup> followed by 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) afforded the two isomeric cyclohexenones, 22a,  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  -13.0 (c 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and 23a,  $[\alpha]_D^{31}$  +11.3 (c 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), in overall yields of 38 and 17% after separation by silica gel column chromatography. On the same treatment, the 2.8:1 mixture consisted of 18b and 19b furnished the two isomeric cyclohexenones, 22b,  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  -9.7 (c 0.6, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and 23b,  $[\alpha]_D^{29}$  +52.8 (c 0.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), in overall yields of 37 and 14% after separation (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: a) LDA, TMSCI, THF, -78 °C (88% for a: 86% for b); b) CH<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>Zn, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (80% for a and b); c) FeCl<sub>3</sub>, DMF then DBU, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (51% for 22a, 52% for 22b; 22% for 23a, 19% for 23b).

To obtain the natural products, the 3-substituted cyclohexenones, 22a and 22b, were sequentially hydrogenated and carbomethoxylated to give the keto-esters, 24a and 24b, which were further transformed into the cyclohexenecarboxylates,  $^2$  26a,  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +71.3 (c 0.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and 26b,  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  +49.3 (c 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), by sequential reduction and dehydration, in overall yields of 48 and 53%, respectively. On the other hand, the 4-substituted cyclohexenones, 23a and 23b, were treated sequentially with L-selectride and N-(2-pyridyl)triflimide in the same flask to give the enol triflates, 27a and 27b. On the palladium-mediated methoxycarbonylation, both the triflates, 27a and 27b, furnished the esters, 26a and 26b, identical with those obtained from 23a and 23b, both in 35% yields. Finally, the esters, 26a and 26b, were acid-hydrolyzed to give (+)-juvabione 1,  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  +65.2 (c 0.2, benzene) {lit.  $[\alpha]_D^{27}$  +65.2 (c 0.46, benzene)}, and epijuvabione (+)-2,  $[\alpha]_D^{29}$  +95.8 (c 0.5, benzene) {lit.  $[\alpha]_D^{32}$  +96.3 (c 0.81, benzene)}, in yields of 84 and 82%, respectively (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: a) H<sub>2</sub>, 10% Pd-C, AcOEt; b) NaH, (MeO)<sub>2</sub>CO, THF (82% for 24a and 90% for 24b, 2 steps); c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH (65% for 25a and 68% for 25b); d) MesCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; e) DBU, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (90% from 25a and 87% from 25b, 2 steps); f) L-selectride, THF then N-(2-pyridyl)triflimide (71% for 27a and 75% for 27b); g) CO, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (cat.), PPh<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N, MeOH, DMF (49% from 27a; 46% from 27b); h) aq. CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, CHCl<sub>3</sub> (84% for 1; 82% for 2).

In summary, a new diastereocontrolled route to (+)-juvabione and (+)-epijuvabione has been developed by lipase-mediated preparation of the key chiral building block having bicyclo[3.2.1]octane framework starting from racemic norcamphor.

## References and Notes

- 1. Heathcock, C. H.; Graham, S. L.; Pirrung, M. C.; Plavac, F.; White, C. T. in *Total Synthesis of Natural Products*, ApSimon, J. edn. Wiley, 1983, Vol. 5, 1.
- 2. Watanabe, H.; Shimizu, H.; Mori, K. Synthesis 1994, 1249.
- 3. Kawamura, M., Ogasawara, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2403.
- 4. Wong, C. H.; Whitesides, G. M. Enzymes in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Pergamon, 1994.
- 5. Ito, Y.; Fujii, S.; Nakatsuka, M.; Kawamoto, F.; Saegusa, T. Org. Synth. Col. Vol. 1988. 6. 327.
- 6. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277.
- 7. Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.
- 8. Comins, D. L.; Dehghani, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6299.
- 9. Cacchi, S., Morera, E., Ortar, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1109.